# THE ELECTRON AFFINITY OF UO 2

E.B. Rudnyi, E.A. Kaibicheva, L.N. Sidorov\*

Department of Chemistry, Moscow State University,

Moscow 119899, Russian Federation

running title - The electron affinity of  ${\rm UO}_3$  keywords - negative ions, uranium oxide, electron affinity, ion - molecule equilibria, high temperature

\_\_\_\_\_\_

The ion-molecule equilibria method was applied to study negative ions while  $\rm U_3O_8$  being vaporized. No  $\rm UO_3^-$  ions were found. It have led to the conclusion that the electron affinity of  $\rm UO_3$  is less than 205 kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup>. That is in complete contradiction with the Gurvich's prediction  $\rm EA(\rm UO_3) = 500\pm50~kJ\cdot mol^{-1}$ .

Molecules with high electron affinity change a lot the properties of slightly ionized gases. They form stable negative ions and make lower the electron concentration and the plasma frequency. At the same time this effect depends on the bond dissociation energy of the molecule either. The

\_\_\_\_\_

 $<sup>^{\</sup>star}$  Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

figure 1 demonstrates that fact using the results of the simple model calculation  $^{1}.$ 

The table 1 shows some data for the molecules with high electron affinities. The transition metal fluorides have got the highest electron affinity while their bond dissociation energies are quite small. It makes that in real systems oxides change the electron concentration stronger than fluorides.

The uranium oxide lies aside from other molecules in table 1. High electron affinity  $500\pm50~{\rm kJ\cdot mol}^{-1}$  with its high dissociation energy places it out of competition. Based on that value of the electron affinity of  ${\rm UO}_3$  Magill et al  $^2$  came to conclusion that concentration of charged particles in the vapors over  ${\rm UO}_2$  at temperatures higher than 2000 K would be about 30 %.

The electron affinity of  ${\rm UO}_3$  given was estimated in the reference book  $^3$  (also see  $^4$ ). They got it while comparing the electron affinities of fluorides and oxides of molybdenum, tungsten and uranium and the procedure looks quite reasonable.

There are no any experimental data on thermodynamics of the negative ion  ${\rm UO}_3^-$ . Plog et al  $^5$  and Middleton  $^6$  mentioned that  ${\rm UO}_3^-$  has been seen by SIMS of uranium oxides.

### EXPERIMENTAL

The work is carried out on the magnet mass spectrometer MKh-1303  $(60^{\circ},\ 200\ \text{mm})$ , adapted to study ion-molecule

equilibria. A platinum effusion chamber (12 mm x 12 mm) was used with (0.5 to 1.2 mm) effusion orifice. The temperature was measured with a Pt-Pt/Rh (10 %) thermocouple, the accuracy being  $\pm 4$  K. Ionic currents were measured with a channel electron multiplier VEU-6. The substances  $\rm U_3O_8$ ,  $\rm Cr_2O_3$ ,  $\rm V_2O_5$  of chemical grade purity were used. The oxide  $\rm V_2O_3$  was synthesized with reduction of  $\rm V_2O_5$  by hydrogen.

The ratio of the partial pressures of ions is calculated from measured ion currents as follows

$$\frac{p(A^{-})}{p(B^{-})} = \frac{I(A^{-})}{I(B^{-})} \left\{ \frac{M(A^{-})}{M(B^{-})} \right\}^{1/2} \frac{\gamma(B^{-})}{\gamma(A^{-})} \frac{i(B^{-})}{i(A^{-})}$$

where I is a measured ion current with multiplier, M is mass of the ion,  $\gamma$  is the multiplier gain, and i is the isotope abundance. The multiplier gain is assumed to be inversely proportional to a square root of ion mass.

# NEGATIVE IONS IN THE VAPORS OF $^{13}0_{8}$

Recently we have found that addition of small amounts of potassium chromate increases the electron concentration in the vapors of transition metal oxides and allows to register at 1400 K such negative ions as  $PtO_2^{-7}$ ,  $NiO_2^{-7}$ ,  $CoO_2^{-8}$ ,  $FeO_2^{-9}$ ,  $MnO_2^{-7}$ ,  $MnO_3^{-7}$ ,  $MnO_4^{-7}$ ,  $VO_3^{-7}$ ,  $V_3O_8^{-7}$ ,  $V_4O_{10}^{-1}$ .

In this work the results of application of ion-molecule equilibria method to study vapors of  $\rm U_3O_8$  are described. Preliminary calculations showed that if the electron affinity of  $\rm UO_3$  would be 500 kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup>, then the

concentration of ions  ${\rm UO}_3^-$  in the vapors of uranium oxide should be much higher than the experimental sensitivity level.

Uranium oxide with small additives was investigated, experiment I -  $\{85.9~\rm U_3O_8~+~4.7~\rm K_2CrO_4~+~9.4~\rm Cr_2O_3\}$ , experiment II -  $\{98.9~\rm U_3O_8~+~0.5~\rm K_2CrO_4~+~0.6~\rm V_2O_3\}$ . The composition is given in mole percent.

Negative ions found were as follows:  $\text{CrO}_3^-$  (10 000),  $\text{CrO}_4^-$  (14.6) in experiment I;  $\text{VO}_3^-$  (1.3),  $\text{V}_3\text{O}_8^-$  (500),  $\text{V}_4\text{O}_{10}^-$  (1000) in experiment II. Relative intensities at 1400 K are given in brackets.

Ion  ${\rm UO}_3^-$  (mass number 286) has not been found. Yet from experimental data it is possible to estimate the upper limit of  ${\rm UO}_3^-$  concentration. We assumed that ion current of  ${\rm UO}_3^-$  is less than measured signal at mass number 286.

The partial pressure of oxygen and the upper limit of  $\frac{\text{I}(\text{UO}_3^-)}{\text{I}(\text{CrO}_3^-)}$  were computed in the experiment I (see table 2).

The partial pressure of oxygen is determined from the ratio of ion currents  ${\rm CrO}_3^-$  and  ${\rm CrO}_4^-$ 

$${p(O_2)/p^O}^{1/2} = \frac{p(CrO_4^-)}{p(CrO_3^-)} \frac{1}{K^O(1)}$$

Thermodynamic data of  ${\rm Cr0}_3^-$  and  ${\rm Cr0}_4^-$  were taken from ref. <sup>10</sup> to get numerical values of equilibrium constants  ${\rm K}^{\rm O}(1)$ 

\_\_\_\_\_

<sup>\*</sup> The standard state  $p^{O}$  = 101325 Pa is used

of the reaction

$$Cro_3^- + \frac{1}{2} o_2 = Cro_4^-$$
 (1)

The partial pressure of oxygen, the activity of  $V_2O_3$  and the upper limit of  $\frac{I(UO_3^-)}{I(VO_3^-)}$  were estimated in the  $I(VO_3^-)$  experiment II (see table 3). The partial pressure of oxygen results from the ratio of ion currents  $V_3O_8^-$ ,  $VO_3^-$ ,  $V_4O_{10}^-$  as follows

$$\{p(O_2)/p^O\}^{1/2} = \frac{p^3(V_3O_8^-)}{p(VO_3^-) p^2(V_4O_{10}^-)} \frac{1}{K^O(2)}$$

were  $K^{O}(2)$  is the equilibrium constant of the reaction

$$2V_4O_{10}^- + VO_3^- + \frac{1}{2}O_2^- = 3V_3O_8^- \tag{2}$$

The activity of  $V_2O_3$  is obtained on the same way

$$\mathbf{a}(V_2O_3) = \frac{p(VO_3^-) p^4(V_4O_{10}^-)}{p^5(V_3O_8^-)} \frac{1}{K^O(3)}$$

were  $K^{O}(3)$  is the equilibrium constant of the reaction

$$V_2O_3(s) + 5V_3O_8^- = 4V_4O_{10}^- + VO_3^-$$
 (3)

To get numerical values of  $K^{O}(2)$  and  $K^{O}(3)$  the experimental data from Kaibicheva's thesis  $^{11}$  were used. We are going to publish them elsewhere.

It might be mentioned that other examples of determining the oxygen partial pressure by ion-molecule equilibria can be found in ref.  $^{12}$ .

# ESTIMATION OF THE ELECTRON AFFINITY OF ${\tt UO}_3$

To estimate an electron affinity by the equilibrium method the relation is used as follows

$$EA(UO_3) = -\Delta_r H_0^O(1) = T \{R ln K^O(5) - \Delta_r \Phi^O(5)\}$$
 (4) where  $K^O(5)$  is the equilibrium constant of the reaction

$$UO_3 + e = UO_3^-$$
 (5)

The equilibrium constant  $K^{O}(5)$  is a product of the partial pressure of  $UO_3$  and the ratio  $p(UO_3^-)/p(e)$ . To estimate the latter ions emerging from additive are used -  $CrO_3^-$  in the first experiment and  $VO_3^-$  in the second one. Thermodynamic properties of these ions are known (see table 5) and in this work they will be employed as ion-standards. It means that it is possible to compute equilibrium constants of the reactions

$$\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Cr}_{2} O_{3}(s) + \frac{3}{4} O_{2} + e = \operatorname{Cr}O_{3}^{-}$$
 (6)

$$\frac{1}{2} V_2 O_3(s) + \frac{3}{4} O_2 + e = VO_3^-$$
 (7)

from the literature data. Using  $K^{O}(6)$  and  $K^{O}(7)$  one can eliminate the partial pressure of electrons and as the result we have

$$\frac{p(UO_3^-)}{p(e)} = \frac{p(UO_3^-)}{p(CrO_3^-)} K^{O}(6) \{p(O_2)/p^O\}^{3/4} a(Cr_2O_3)^{1/2}$$

in the experiment I and

$$\frac{p(UO_3^-)}{p(e)} = \frac{p(UO_3^-)}{p(VO_3^-)} K^{O}(7) \{p(O_2)/p^O\}^{3/4} a(V_2O_3)^{1/2}$$

in the experiment II.

To estimate partial pressures of  ${\rm UO}_3$  the literature data on thermodynamics of uranium oxides were used. It has been supposed that the admixture of chromium oxide and vanadium oxide should not change the activity of the chief ingredient in large extent. While being heated  ${\rm U}_3{\rm O}_8$  becomes

nonstoichiometric  $\rm U_3O_{8-z}$  and later on is converted to lower oxides. At temperatures lower than 1400 K firstly  $\rm U_3O_{8-z}$  is changed to  $\rm U_4O_{9-y}$ , and then to  $\rm UO_{2+x}$ . At temperatures upper than 1400 K  $\rm U_3O_{8-z}$  instantly goes to  $\rm UO_{2+x}$ . Thermodynamics of all these phases is well known  $\rm ^3$ ,  $\rm ^{13-21}$ , while satisfactory agreement seeing among different authors.

The figure 2 presents the stability diagram of uranium oxides as a function of the partial pressure of oxygen in the system. Last experimental data of Nakamura & Fujino  $^{20}$  are used for  $\rm UO_{2+x}$ . Comparison with previous works is given in ref.  $^{20}$ . The lines of heterogeneous equilibria between  $\rm UO_{2+x}$ ,  $\rm U_4O_9$  and  $\rm U_3O_8$  are taken from Kotlar et al  $^{13}$ . The experimental oxygen partial pressures are also given on this picture.

Thus measurement of oxygen partial pressures in the course of the experiment allows to monitor the composition of uranium oxides. Having compared the experimental oxygen partial pressures and the stability diagram one may conclude that in the experiments  $\rm U_3O_8$  decomposed with formation of  $\rm UO_{2+x}$ , where x  $\approx$  0.2. Notice that the same way was used in investigation of negative ions in the vapors of nonstoichiometric  $\rm Fe_3O_{4+x}$   $^9$ .

Let us express the partial pressure of  ${\rm UO}_3$  with the help of the equilibrium constant of the reaction

$$UO_2(s) + \frac{1}{2}O_2 = UO_3$$
 (8)

Along with relation for  $p(UO_3^-)/p(e)$  it gives the final result

$$K^{O}(5) = \frac{p(UO_{3}^{-})}{p(CrO_{3}^{-})} K^{O}(6) K^{O}(8)^{-1} *$$

$$\{p(O_{2})/p^{O}\}^{1/4} a(Cr_{2}O_{3})^{1/2} a(UO_{2})^{-1}$$
 (9a)

for the experiment I and

$$K^{O}(5) = \frac{p(UO_{3}^{-})}{p(VO_{3}^{-})} K^{O}(7) K^{O}(8)^{-1} *$$

$${\{p(O_2)/p^O\}}^{1/4} \ \mathbf{a}(V_2O_3)^{1/2} \ \mathbf{a}(UO_2)^{-1}$$
 (9b)

for the experiment II.

The equations (9) express the equilibrium constant of the reaction (5) through the experimentally measured quantities. Substituting  $K^{O}(5)$  to the equation (4) one can get the electron affinity of  $UO_3$ .

It should be mentioned that it might be possible to use the other way besides the equations (9) and (4). As the example the first experiment will be used. The equilibrium constants and the enthalpy of reaction

$$UO_2(s) + CrO_3^- = UO_3^- + \frac{1}{4}O_2^- + \frac{1}{2}Cr_2O_3(s)$$
 (10)

might be obtained from the same experimental data as used in the equation (9). Then the electron affinity of  ${\tt UO}_3$  may be evaluated as follows

$$\begin{split} \mathtt{EA}(\mathtt{UO_3}) &= \Delta_\mathtt{f} \mathtt{H}_0^\mathsf{O}(\mathtt{UO_3}) - \Delta_\mathtt{r} \mathtt{H}_0^\mathsf{O}(\mathtt{10}) - \Delta_\mathtt{f} \mathtt{H}_0^\mathsf{O}\{\mathtt{UO_2}(\mathtt{s})\} \\ &- \Delta_\mathtt{f} \mathtt{H}_0^\mathsf{O}(\mathtt{Cro}_3^-) + \frac{1}{2} \Delta_\mathtt{f} \mathtt{H}_0^\mathsf{O}\{\mathtt{Cr}_2\mathtt{O}_3(\mathtt{s})\} \end{split}$$

Such two ways are equivalent and give completely the same results.

Table 4 presents the calculations according formulas

(9). The upper limits, the oxygen partial pressure and activity of  $V_2O_3$  are computed from the experimental data (see tables 2 and 3). To determine the activity of chromium oxide by ion-molecule equilibria it is necessary to get ion current of  $\operatorname{Cr}_2O_6^{-}{}^{22}$ . In present work ion  $\operatorname{Cr}_2O_6^{-}$  was absent and it was assumed that  $\mathbf{a}(\operatorname{Cr}_2O_3) < 1$ . It gives even stronger inequality for the upper limit  $K^O(5)$ . The equilibrium constants of the reactions (6)-(8) are evaluated from literature thermodynamic data showed in table 5.

To estimate the activity of  ${\rm UO}_2$  the Gibbs-Duhem equation for  ${\rm UO}_{2+{\rm x}}$  phase

d ln 
$$\mathbf{a}(UO_2) + \frac{x}{2}$$
 d ln  $p(O_2) = 0$ 

was applied. Thus

$$\ln \mathbf{a}(UO_2) = -\int_0^x \frac{x}{2} d \ln p(O_2)$$

Measuring the oxygen partial pressure allowed to estimate nonstoichiometry index of uranium dioxide  $\rm UO_{2+x}$  formed out of  $\rm U_3O_8$  (x  $\approx$  0.2, see figure 2). Yet for bigger reliability the lower limit of  $\rm UO_2$  activity was estimated. It corresponds the heterogeneous equilibrium between  $\rm UO_{2+x}$  and  $\rm U_3O_8$  (x = 0.245 at 1430 R and x = 0.25 at 1515 R  $^{18}$ ). Dependence of  $\rm ln\ p(O_2)$  from x in the range x > 0.003 is taken from ref.  $^{20}$ , and in the range 0 < x < 0.003 is approximated by the straight line.

The upper limit of the equilibrium constants of the reaction (5) brings to the upper limit of the electron

affinity of  ${\rm UO}_3$ . The free energy functions of  ${\rm UO}_3$ ,  ${\rm UO}_3^-$  and electron are taken from the reference book  $^3$ . The first experiment shows that the electron affinity of  ${\rm UO}_3$  is less than 205 kJ·mol $^{-1}$  and the second experiment does EA(UO $_3$ ) < 325 kJ·mol $^{-1}$ . As a recommended value we chose the lower one EA(UO $_3$ ) < 205 kJ·mol $^{-1}$ .

## DISCUSSION

Thus our experimental results - absence of negative ion  $UO_3^-$  in the vapors of uranium oxides - show that the electron affinity of  $UO_3$  is at least on 295 kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup> less than as estimated in the reference book <sup>3</sup>.

The difference in an enthalpy on 295 kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup> leads to the difference in an equilibrium constant at 1400 K on  $10^{11}$  times. Nothing in the formula (9) couldn't bear such error. The error propagation law shows that inaccuracies of the literature thermodynamic data in table 5 gives inaccuracies as follows  $\Delta\{\ln K^{O}(6)\} = 1.0$ ,  $\Delta\{\ln K^{O}(7)\} = 1.2$ ,  $\Delta\{\ln K^{O}(8)\} = 1.4$  at 1400 K. It means the inaccuracy of the equilibrium constants  $K^{O}(6)$ ,  $K^{O}(7)$ ,  $K^{O}(8)$  is about three-four times. The errors of the partial pressure of oxygen and of the estimated  $UO_2$  activity obtained are also not bigger than several times.

The only possibility to get an error as high as eleven orders of magnitude is to suggest full absence of equilibrium in the systems under study. Such hypothesis couldn't be ruled out but it seems to be quite improbable.

We observed non equilibrium while studying systems of cobalt and nickel oxides with potassium sulfate  $^8$ . Yet it was easily detected due to time and composition dependence of the apparent equilibrium constants. In the present case potassium chromate with chromium oxide or vanadium oxide were used as additives. The same admixture was applied to study negative ions in the vapors of some transition oxides - nickel and cobalt oxides  $^8$ , iron oxide  $^9$ , manganese and vanadium oxides  $^1$ . Worthy of notice is that the conditions of the experiments were about the same. In all cases negative ions produced from molecules with the electron affinity of about 250 kJ·mol $^{-1}$  were found but not  $^{10}$ . It is hard to imagine that vaporization of uranium oxides so different that the negative ion of the molecule with the electron affinity 500 kJ·mol $^{-1}$  couldn't be registered.

To show it more clearly let us roughly estimate  $K^{O}(5)$ by the straight way. Pure stoichiometric  ${\tt UO}_2$  have got quite low work function 298  $\rm kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$  22-24 and it should be quite high concentration of electrons  $1.6 \cdot 10^{-5}$  Pa at 1400 K over it. When UO, have been oxidized its work function goes up to  $434~\mathrm{kJ \cdot mol}^{-1}$  and the electron concentration goes down to  $3.2 \cdot 10^{-10}$  Pa at 1400 K. The addition of chromium oxide calculations according our raise the electron concentration back to the level up same over stoichiometric UO2.

The sensitivity level of registering negative ions even in the worst case should be less than  $1\cdot 10^{-9}$  Pa. The

partial pressure of  ${\rm UO}_3$  at 1400 K in our experiments was roughly  $2.8\cdot 10^{-3}$  Pa. So in the worst conditions the absence of  ${\rm UO}_3^-$  in the vapors over uranium oxides means that  ${\rm K}^{\rm O}(5)$  is less than  $1.1\cdot 10^8$  and the electron affinity of  ${\rm UO}_3$  is less than  $247~{\rm kJ\cdot mol}^{-1}$ .

Besides we will mention two studies with uranium-containing negative ions where  ${\rm UO}_3^-$  has not also been found. Sidorova et al  $^{25}$  investigated ion-molecule equilibria with uranium oxyfluorides and saw  ${\rm UF}_6^-$ ,  ${\rm UOF}_5^-$ , and  ${\rm UO}_2^-{\rm F}_3^-$ . Yokozeki et al  $^{26}$  studied electron attachment to volatile uranil compounds with atom uranium coordinating six atoms of oxygen and again did not see  ${\rm UO}_3^-$ .

Now let us briefly discuss the logic of estimating the electron affinity of  ${\rm UO}_3$  made in reference book  $^3$ . The differences of the electron affinities of hexafluorides and trioxides of molybdenum and tungsten were calculated  $\{{\rm EA(MoF}_6) = 347\pm20~{\rm kJ\cdot mol}^{-1},~{\rm EA(WF}_6) = 338\pm20~{\rm kJ\cdot mol}^{-1},~{\rm EA(MoO}_3) = 285\pm25~{\rm kJ\cdot mol}^{-1},~{\rm EA(WO}_3) = 325\pm30~{\rm kJ\cdot mol}^{-1}\}.$  Later on it was assumed that about the same difference should be for uranium hexafluoride  $\{{\rm EA(UF}_6) = 538\pm35~{\rm kJ\cdot mol}^{-1}\}$  and uranium trioxide. On such way the electron affinity  ${\rm EA(UO}_3) = 500\pm50$  was obtained.

After the reference book  $^3$  was published the new thermodynamic data had arrived  $EA(MoF_6) = 369\pm18 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$   $^{27}$ ,  $EA(MoF_6) = 398 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$   $^{28}$ ,  $EA(MoO_3) = 277\pm19 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ ,  $EA(WO_3) = 380\pm19 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$   $^{10}$ ,  $EA(WO_3) = 321\pm\frac{8}{14} \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ 

from those used in the reference book  $^3$ , but nothing is changed in estimating the electron affinity of  ${\rm UO}_3$ .

Much higher electron affinities of molybdenum and tungsten hexafluorides  $\{\text{EA}(\text{MoF}_6) > 496 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}, \text{EA}(\text{WF}_6) > 496 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \text{ 31,32} \}$  were received with ionization by fast alkali atoms. They are discussed in ref.  $^{32,33}$  and seems to be less reliable. For example, they have been disregarded in the reference book  $^3$ . Yet even we will use them to estimate the electron affinity of  $\text{UO}_3$  it brings to still larger values than the upper limit obtained in this work.

For our opinion the logic used in the reference book <sup>3</sup> is quite reasonable and there is nothing wrong with it. At the same time such great difference with the experimental results shows that the way of changing of the electron affinity at transition from molybdenum and tungsten oxides to uranium oxide have an anomaly.

#### REFERENCES

- E.B. Rudnyi, E.A. Kaibicheva, L.N. Sidorov, Struktura i
   Energetika Molecul. Trudy V Vsesoyusnogo soveshchaniya
   po isucheniyu molecul v gasovoi fase (Structure and
   energetics of molecules. Proceedings of the V all-union
   seminar on structure of molecules in the gas phase), p.
   124, Ivanovo (1990).
- J. Magill, G.J. Hyland, M.H. Rand, J. Chem. Phys. 88, 4101 (1988).
- 3. Termodinamichekie Svoistva Individual'nykh Veshchestv (Thermodynamic Properties of Individual Substances),
  V.P. Glushko: editor, Nauka: Moscow, 1978-1982, vols. 1
  to 4.
- 4. D.L. Hildenbrand, L.V. Gurvich, V.S. Yungman, The Chemical Thermodynamics of Actinide Elements and Compounds. Part 13 - The Gaseous Actinide Ions, IAEA, Vienna, 1985
- 5. C. Plog, Surf. Sci. 67, 565 (1977).
- 6. R. Middleton,, Nucl. Instr. Methods 144, 373 (1977).
- 7. E.B. Rudnyi, O.V. Kuznetsova , E.A. Kaibicheva , L.N. Sidorov, Teplofiz. Vys. Temp. 28, 1135 (1990); High Temp. USSR (English transl) 28, 864 (1990).
- 8. E.A. Kaibicheva, E.B. Rudnyi, L.N. Sidorov, I.D. Sorokin, Zhurnal Fiz. Khimii, 65, 919 (1991).
- 9. E.A. Kaibicheva, E.B. Rudnyi, L.N. Sidorov, **Zhurnal Fiz. Khimii**, to be published.
- 10. E.B. Rudnyi, O.M. Vovk, E.A. Kaibicheva, L.N. Sidorov,

- J. Chem. Thermodynamics, 21, 247 (1989).
- 11. E.A. Kaibicheva. Ion-molecule Equilibria in Vapors of Oxides of 3d-Elements (In Russian), Cand. thesis (1990) 189 pp. (Available at V.I. Lenin USSR State Library, Moscow).
- E.B. Rudnyi, M.V. Korobov, O.M. Vovk, E.A. Kaibicheva,
   L.N. Sidorov, High. Temp. Sci., 26, 165 (1988/1989).
- 13. A. Kotlar, P. Gerdanian, M. Dode, J. Chim. Phys. Phys.-Chim. Biol. 65, 687 (1968).
- 14. A. Kotlar, P. Gerdanian, M. Dode, J. Chim. Phys.
  Phys.-Chim. Biol. 64, 1135 (1967).
- 15. C. Picard, P. Gerdanian, J. Nucl. Mat. 99, 184 (1981).
- 16. A. Caneiro, J.P. Abriata, J. Nucl. Mat. 126, 255
  (1984).
- 17. T.B. Lindemer, T.M. Besmann, J. Nucl. Mat. 130, 473 (1985).
- 18. J.F. Babelot, R.W. Ohse, M. Hoch, **J. Nucl. Mat.** 137, 144 (1986).
- 19. J.F. Babelot, M. Hoch, J. Nucl. Mat. 167, 25 (1989).
- 20. A. Nakamura, T. Fujino, J. Nucl. Mat. 167, 36 (1989).
- 21. A. Nakamura, T. Fujino, J. Nucl. Mat. 149, 80 (1987).
- 22. E.B. Rudnyi, E.A. Kaibicheva, L.N. Sidorov, M.T. Varsavskii, A.M. Men', J. Chem. Thermodynamics, 22, 623 (1990).
- 22. G.A. Haas, J.T. Jensen, J. Appl. Phys. 34, 3451 (1963).
- 23. J.P. Hiernaut, J. Magill, R.W. Ohse, M. Tetenbaum,,
  High Temp. High Press. 17, 633 (1985).

- 24. W. McLean, H.L. Chen, J. Appl. Phys. 58, 4679 (1985).
- 25. I.V. Sidorova, A.T. Pyatenko, L.N. Gorokhov, V.K. Smirnov, Teplofiz. Vys. Temp. 22, 1120 (1984); High Temp. USSR (English transl) 22, 857 (1984).
- 26. A. Yokozeki, E.L. Quitevis, D.R. Herschbach, J. Phys. Chem. 86, 617 (1982).
- 27. A.Ya. Borshchevskii, O.V. Boltalina, I.D. Sorokin., L.N. Sidorov, J. Chem. Thermodynamics, 20, 523 (1988).
- 28. M.I. Nikitin, Teplofiz. Vys. Temp. 27, 868, (1989).
- 29. C.W. Walter, C.F. Hertzler, P. Devynck, G.P. Smith,
   J.R. Peterson, J. Chem. Phys. 95, 824 (1991).
- 30. B.P. Mathur, E.W. Rothe, G.P. Reck, **J. Chem. Phys.** 67, 377 (1977).
- 31. R.N. Compton, P.W. Reinhardt, G.D. Cooper, J. Chem. Phys. 68, 2023 (1978).
- 32. L.N. Sidorov, A.Y. Borshchevskii, E.B. Rudny, V.D. Butsky, Chem. Phys. 71, 145 (1982).
- 33. P.M. George, J.L. Beauchamp, **Chem. Phys.** 36, 345 (1979).

Table 1. Thermodynamic properties of molecules forming the  $$\operatorname{\textsc{most}}$$  stable negative ions  $^1$ 

M	EA(M)	D(M)	M	EA(M)	D(M)
PtF <sub>6</sub>	675±34	149±12	PO <sub>2</sub>	367±21	497±10
CoF <sub>4</sub>	615±31	154±11	PO <sub>3</sub>	433±51	479±10
CeF <sub>4</sub>	367±39	399±25	$BO_2$	417±18	546
UF <sub>6</sub>	488±19	312	Cro <sub>3</sub>	351±17	459±21
UF <sub>5</sub>	361±21	380	$MoO_3$	277±19	594±21
MoF <sub>6</sub>	368±18	385	WO <sub>3</sub>	380±19	594±21
MoF <sub>5</sub>	334±17	375	UO <sub>3</sub>	500±50	566±25
FeF <sub>3</sub>	349±13	339			
RhF <sub>4</sub>	523±29	202			
MnF <sub>4</sub>	533±22	215			
IrF <sub>6</sub>	627±37	270			

Table 2. The experimental data for the system  ${\rm U_3O_8^{-K_2^{CrO}4^{-Cr}2^O_3(s)} }$ 

T(K)	ln - I(UO3) - I(CrO3)	ln - I(CrO <sub>4</sub> ) I(CrO <sub>3</sub> )	Pa
1344	<-5.22	-6.77	0.42
1430	<-7.39	-6.53	2.2
1473	<-7.92	-6.57	3.6
1515	<-7.16	-7.16	1.9

Table 3. The experimental data for the system  ${\rm U_{3}O_{8}}{-}{\rm K_{2}CrO_{4}}{-}{\rm V_{2}O_{3}}$ 

$$T(K) = \ln \frac{I(UO_3^-)}{I(VO_3^-)} = \ln K_I(2)^a = \frac{p(O_2)}{Pa} = \ln K_I(3)^b = a(V_2O_3)$$

$$1344 = \langle 3.87 = 5.50 = 0.16 = -3.81 = 0.32$$

$$1430 = \langle 2.28 = 4.59 = 0.54 = -3.23 = 0.18$$

$$1473 = \langle 1.09 = 3.65 = 0.33 = -1.83 = 0.44$$

b - 
$$\ln \frac{p(VO_3^-) p^4(V_4O_{10}^-)}{p^5(V_3O_8^-)}$$
 is given

Table 4. Estimating the electron affinity of  ${\rm UO}_3^-$ 

exp N	. T(K)	ln K <sup>*</sup>	ln K <sup>O</sup> (8)	<b>a</b> (UO <sub>2</sub> )	ln K <sup>O</sup> (5)	EA(UO <sub>3</sub> ) kJ·mol <sup>-1</sup>
I	1344	14.38	-11.55	>0.54	<19.09	<242
	1430	13.60	-10.13	>0.43	<15.37	<214
	1473	13.24	-9.48	>0.40	<14.02	<205
	1515	12.89	-8.90	>0.40	<13.69	<208
II	1344	16.44	-11.55	>0.54	<29.62	<359
	1430	15.38	-10.13	>0.43	<25.79	<338
	1473	14.88	-9.48	>0.40	<23.85	<325

<sup>\*</sup>  $K^{O}(6)$  is given for the experiment I and  $K^{O}(7)$  is given for the experiment II (standard state is 101325 Pa)

Table 5. Auxiliary thermodynamic data

Compound	$ \Delta_{\text{f}}^{\text{H}_{0}^{\text{O}}} $ $ \text{kJ·mol}^{-1} $	$\frac{{}^{0}_{1200}}{\text{J} \cdot \text{K}^{-1} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}}$	$\Phi_{1400}^{\circ}$	
Cr <sub>2</sub> 0 <sub>3</sub> (s)	-1134.8±1.7	143.8±7.5	160.2	[3]
V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (s)	-1211.7±5.0	157.7±2.0	174.9	[3]
UO <sub>2</sub> (s)	-1081.2±1.0	116.0±1.1	126.8	[3]
02	0	217.9±0.0	222.8	[3]
е	0	29.0±0.0	32.2	[3]
CrO <sub>3</sub>	-669±9	311.5±4.0	322.1	[10]
vo_3	-754±11	301.5±5.2	311.8	[1, 11]
UO <sub>3</sub>	-795±15	343.6±3.3	354.5	[3]
UO3		353.4±7.6	364.8	[3]

#### FIGURES CAPTIONS

Figure 1. The partial pressure of electrons in slightly ionized gas as function of an electron affinity (EA) and a dissociation energy (D in  $kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$ ) of admixure molecules.

The results are given for model system consisted from inert gas, potassium (0.01%) and molecules M (1%), forming negative ions M $^-$ . There are reactions of potassium ionization K = K $^+$  + e, attachment of electrons by molecules M + e = M $^-$  and dissociation of molecules to two fragments M = A + B.

Figure 2. Stability diagram of uranium oxides.

- o partial pressure of oxygen obtained in experiment I.
- $\Delta$  partial pressure of oxygen obtained in experiment II.

